App. F. IX-4

1927-28.

File No. 22-27

Previous References (P.A.)

Serial Nos. 1-12

Later References
P A 31-27. (164)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Twelve.

P257-E **Part B for** January 1928.

SUBJECT

Interpellation by Mr. D. K. Chandrasekharanja in the Session of the Assembly on 12th Decr. 1927 re cases filed in different courts against the elections to Prov. Assembly and Legis Council held in Mar. 1927.

Answer to the question furnished & printed.
(Vide no. 92 of the questions)

Fleurpellai
7.1.1928

(1

G. R. S. 11) 1927-8.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(OA)

NOTES.

File No. 22-27

FILE HEADING.

Interpellation No 87 by W. D. H. Chandrasekharaiya re. Cases filed in different Courts against the election to the R.A and L.C. that were conducted during the month 1927.

From

W. D. H. Chandrasekharaiya L.C.
Member Davangere

No. 87 Dated 23.11.27 Received 23.11.27

Diary No. 98 filed	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests certain information re: Cases filed in different Courts against election to the R.A and L.C held in March 1927.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

(Submitted

2. The information (a), (b) & (c) with regard to R.A. elections is not available in the Office. And as regards L.C. elections, No information is available at all. A Draft (A) & the D.O. com

NOTES—First Sheet.

98 Rel (2)

App. F. ~~III—2~~

General and Revenue Secretariat. | R File No. 22 of 1927

Serial No.

of Districts And (2) to the Registrar Chief Court forwarding a Copy of the interpellation & Calling for the required information - Time are submitted for approval

24/11

3. The D.C.'s of Ots and the with regard to R.A. elections and the Chief Court with regard to L.C elections may perhaps be asked to send the answers.

4. Drafts ended.

24/11

Secy L-D)

5. appd 24.11

6. Prof 24-11

2 Do the D-cs of Dists. Letter no. 289-96 & 24.11.27. The copy of interpellation (R 1) and requests to furnish information so as far as elections to R.A are concerned before the 29th inst.

3 Do the Regr. Chief Court- Letter no 297 & 27.11.27. The copy of the interpellation and requests to obtain and furnish information so far as elections to L.C. are concerned before the 29th inst.

Cont A 24/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

App. F. III 73

General and Revenue Secretariat. A/A File No. 22 of 1927

Serial No. 4 From the Dy Comr Hassan dt
No: 7 Dis 193 D/25.11.27
States that no cases were filed in the district against the elections to the RA that were conducted during the current year.

5 From the Dy Comr Shimoga dt
No. A LC 810/27-28 D/26.11.27
Forwards a Memo containing information called for in regard to Dy election petitions of RA elections disposed in his district.

6 From the Dy Comr Kadur dt
No. L Dis 1510/27-28 D/26.11.27
Forwards a statement furnishing the required information.

7 From the Dy Comr Bangalore dt
No: L Dis A2 1167-28 D/26.11.27
Forwards a Memo containing information to Question No: 87 by Mr D.K. Chandrashekariah.
Submitted.

8 From the Dy Comr Bangalore dt
Letter No: C1-1073
D/28.11.27
Furnishes the information called for

8 Replies from Tumkur, Kadur, Shimoga & Hassan have been received. Information from Mysore & Chitaldrug dts are due. Draft reminders are submitted for approval

29/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-2

D·108/REh 160 112 (4

App. F. III

29·11·27

General and Revenue Secretariat. RA File No. 22 of 1927.

Serial No.	
	9 Draft reminders may be approved.
	BR
	29·11·27
	W. Ime 29 30/11
9	From the Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dt No: L Dis 1772/27-28 Dt 28·11·27 Furnishes the required information called for in Sl: 2 above
10	From the Dy Comr: Kolar Dt Letter No: A & E 1095/27-28 Dt 29.11.27 Furnishes the information called for in Sl: 2.
11	From the Registrar, Chief Court No: 5880/27-28 Dt 29.11.27 Furnishes information called for in Sl: 3 so far as Legis: Comt is Concerned.
11	Submitted. Replies have been received from all Dy Comrs except Chitaldrug As regards appeals in Connection with R.A. elections and from the Registrar, Chief Court, Bangalore as regards appeals in Connection with L.C elections
12	A draft reply is submitted for approval.
	BR 30.11.27

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11/22 of 1927

5

Serial No.

13. Information has been called for re (a) + (b).

The answer from the Chief Comm. regarding the Legislature Council elections has been received.

The answers re R.A elections are yet due from the D.C.'s of Chitaldrug.

Re (c) - There is no time limit & the answer may be "No".

Re (d). A statement of appeals filed before & after the decisions of D.C's with the result is subd. (000)

Legd)

1/12

- Draft replies may be drafted

3rd Member

1.12

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
1 DEC. 1927
No. 5113

15. With cross ref. Kindly bring to my notice

Read

From the Deputy Commissioner Chitd. - Proc dt No. 2378/21 d/30.11.27 Furnishes the information called for 2d:2

16 Draft reply submitted as per rule 17 Subd draft replies as instructed by the 3rd member.

Legd)

3rd member

NOTE—Contn. sheet

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
3 DEC. 1927
No. 5198

3/12

3/12

3rd member

3/12

112 Rel (6)

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. (RH) File No. 22 of 1927.

Serial No.	
(Rev. 92)	Submitted for orders if the papers may be filed. The question was ~~[illegible]~~ answered at the last session of the Legislative Council. 4/1/28 May be filed. 4/1 6/1

(B)

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—21-5-27

In Interpellation No. 87 (Subsequently changed to 92)

(a) & (b)(i)	Cases filed	Disposed of	Nature of disposal
R.A.	20	26	18 discussed 3 withdrawn 1 compromised 1 Election Cancelled 2 opponents elected 1 Petitioner elected.
L.C.	3	3	3 withdrawn

(b)(ii) Information cannot be supplied

(c) No time limit has been prescribed.

(d)	Appeals filed	Disposed of	Nature of disposal
	10	5	4 discussed 1 Deputy Commissioner's decision set aside

CSN
3/12

K.C.
3/12

RA

8

Cases filed

Hassan Nil

		Disposed of
(a) Shimoga	4	4 - all dismissed
Kadur	4	4 - 3 dismissed - 1 withdrawn
Tumkur	6	1 withdrawn 3 dismissed 1 petitioner declared elected 1 opponent elected.
Bangalore	7	3 dismissed 1 withdrawn 1 Election cancelled & re-election ordered 2 Pending
Mysore	4.	3 dismissed. 1 Elected declared elected
Kolar	2	2 dismissed
Chikkmg	3.	1 compromised 2 Pending.

Legislature council

Bangalore division 2	2 withdrawn
Mysore division 1.	1 withdrawn

Appeals filed filed

10. Disposed of 5

Pending 5

Appendix (9

(Ref: to Question 92)

Information re: Appeals filed before Govt in respect of RA elections

Kadur Dt (1) M.B. Ranga Rajiah vs Manje Gowda
Manje Dyavappa Gowda
RA members
Mudigere Taluk
Appeal dismissed ✓

Tumkur Dt (2) M. G. Rajasekhar vs M. G. S. Murigappa
RA member
Gubbi Tumkur Constituency
Appeal dismissed ✓

(3) M. Hoskote Bhadrappa vs G. Ramiah
RA member,
Koratagere Sub Dt
Appeal dismissed ✓

(4) M. J. Gurumallappanavar vs Devada
Jajmana Siddappa
RA member
Tumkur Urban
Constituency
Appeal dismissed ✓

(5) M. S. Manje Gowda vs M. Huchchaiah
Gowda
RA member
Arsikere Taluk
orders not passed Pending with the Govt
Mysore Dt (6) M. Deva Gowda vs M. Bellur Lakshminarayana
Ordered the posts to be
RA member
Hagadadevanakote
Election was set aside ~~Decision of the Dt Court was set aside~~
~~by both the petitioner and the~~

(10 opponent were declared guilty by Corrupt practices and the Candidate who secured the next highest number of votes was declared elected.

Mysore Dt (7) W.P. Basalingappa Settee vs Belluli Channappa & 1 member Yedatore Taluk

order not passed (Pending with Govt. (ordered to be posted for hearing)

Bangalore Dt (8) W.P. Gurudasa Gowda vs Mr. Venkatanobbiah & Narayanaswamy & 2 members & 1 other

order not passed (Pending with Govt. (ordered to be posted for hearing)

Kolar Dt (9) W.P. S. Dhanpath vs G. Sivappa & 1 member Sidlaghatta Urban Constituency

order not passed (Pending with Govt. (Records have been called for from the Dy Com.)

(10) W.P. Jatavara Veeranappa vs. Messrs Srinivasaraghava & B. Appayya & 2 members & Sidlaghatta Rural Constituency

order not passed (Pending with Govt. (Records have been called for from the Dy Com.)

No 8 ~~The decision of the Dt Judge is final with leave to appeal regarding the~~

30/4

92

III

THE MYSORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

DECEMBER 1927.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Mr. K. Poosawmy.—

Q.—**1.** Will the Government be pleased to state whether they have read an article regarding "Insanity and Bad teeth" which appeared in the "Madras Mail" of the 3rd August 1927, and whether any arrangement is being made to supplement the staff of the Government Mental Hospital with the services of a Dental Surgeon ?

Rajasabhabhushana **K. Chandy.—**

A.—The attention of the Medical Officers was invited to the article in question when it was published and it has been ascertained that, when necessary, the services of the Government Dental Surgeon are being availed of for the purpose.

Messrs. M. C. Linge Gowda and S. C. Malliah.—

Q.—**4.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) in how many taluks (with names) in Mysore District was *Rinderpest* prevailing in September 1927 ;

(*b*) which are the villages that had been infected by the epidemic and the dates on which the disease broke out in each village ; and

(*c*) what was the strength of the staff that went to the relief of the infected villages in that month and in the subsequent months ?

Rajasabhabhushana **K. Chandy.—**

A.—(*a*) Rinderpest prevailed in eight taluks in the Mysore District during the month of September 1927. The taluks were Mysore, Nanjangud, Seringapatam, Hunsur, Heggaddevankote, Chamrajnagar, Gundlupet and Yedatore and the Yelandur Jahgir.

(*b*) Statement attached. (*Vide* Appendix I.)

(*c*) One Veterinary Officer and five Veterinary Inspectors.

Messrs. M. C. Linge Gowda and S. C. Malliah.—

Q.—**5.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) what is the number of Veterinary Inspectors that were appointed in the years 1925-26 and 1926-27 ; and

(*b*) what is the number of Veterinary Inspectors that left service with reasons in the years 1925-26 and 1926-27 ?

Rajasabhabhushana **K. Chandy.—**

A.—(*a*) Thirty-three Veterinary Inspectors were appointed in the year 1925-26 and nine in 1926-27.

(*b*) Thirty-four Veterinary Inspectors left the service voluntarily.

Rajakaryaprasakta Diwan Bahadur **M. N. Krishna Rao.**—

A.—(*a*) Yes. The name of the village is Mahal Jodi Basavapura.

(*b*) Yes. Information is not available.

(*c*) The village has been leased out to one Iyambhatta for an annual *gutta* of Rs. 135.

(*d*) The revenue realised for the last three years is Rs. 3,14. After deducting the Jodi and cesses the balance is credited to the funds of the temple for meeting its demands.

(*e*) No.

(*f*) The matter is stated to be under enquiry.

(*g*) No.

(*h*) The Dharmadarsis of Sri Varadarajaswami temple are reported to be attending to the affairs of this temple also.

Mr. T. Srinivasachar.—

Q.—**20.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the jodi Holali village in Kolar Taluk is under Government management and if so, from what date;

(*b*) what were the circumstances under which the village was taken under Government management;

(*c*) what is the revenue derived from the village ever since the management was taken up by the Government;

(*d*) when was the tank of the village reserved for the Kolar Gold Fields water supply;

(*e*) was any compensation paid to the Jodidars when the tank was so reserved, and if so how much; and

(*f*) how long do the Government propose to administer the village?

Rajasabhabhushana **K. Chandy.**—

A.—(*a*) Yes. From the year 1875-76.

(*b*) The tank breached in the year 1874-75. Its restoration was then undertaken by the Public Works Department at a cost of Rs. 25,000. A loan of Rs. 9,000 was advanced to the Jodidars, free of interest. The village was then taken under Government management for the recovery of the amount.

(*c*) A sum of Rs. 46,171-14-6 is said to have been recovered up to the end of 1926-27.

(*d*) The tank was reserved from 10th December 1904 as per G. O. No. R. 5132—547—03—34 of the same date.

(*e*) Yes. A sum of Rs. 14,732-2-0 is noted in the register of this office to have been paid as compensation.

(*f*) A sum of Rs. 711-3-6 has yet been outstanding recovery against the Jodidars. After this amount is recovered the question of restoring the village to the Jodidars would be considered.

Mr. T. Srinivasachar.—

Q.—**22.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) what was the total expenditure incurred for the Dasara Industrial Exhibition, 1927;

(*b*) what were the total receipts from the hire of Stalls and by Gate fees; and

(*c*) what is its net financial result?

Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer.—

		Rs.	a.	p.
A.—(*a*) Capital Expenditure	.. ..	48,000	0	0
Revenue Expenditure	.. ..	15,021	1	0
Total	..	63,021	1	0
(*b*) Stall Rent ..	.. ..	3,529	3	3
Gate Money ..	.. ..	9,665	9	6
Miscellaneous Receipts	.. ..	4,446	2	3
Total	.. ..	17,640	15	0

(*c*) A net surplus of Rs. 2,619-14-0 in the Revenue Account.

Mr. D. V. Gundappa.—

Q.—**26.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether there is at present any Government agency to inspect the general sanitary and workmen's welfare conditions of printing presses, flour and oil mills, bakeries, confectionaries, spinning and weaving factories and other kinds of industrial establishments in the cities of Bangalore and Mysore :

(*b*) whether, if such an agency is in existence, it has been submitting periodical reports of its inspections to the Government ; and

(*c*) whether the Government would be so good as to place a copy of the latest of such reports for both the cities on the table of this House?

Rajakaryaprasakta Diwan Bahadur **M. N. Krishna Rao.**—

A.—(*a*) Yes; in the case of concerns which fall under the definition of a factory under the Factories Regulation.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) There are no separate reports for the two cities.

Mr. D. V. Gundappa.—

Q.—**29.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Director of Public Instruction who was deputed to attend the Imperial Educational Conference in London in June last is expected to submit a report setting forth what he was able to observe and learn regarding the tendencies and methods of educational development in countries represented at the Conference and in countries which he visited in Europe; and

(*b*) whether, if he is so expected, the Government would make that report available to the general public?

Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer.—

A.—(*a*) A report is expected from the Director in regard to his deputation to Europe.

(*b*) The Government will consider this after the report is received.

Mr. D. V. Gundappa.—

Q.—**30.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether a Committee was appointed in 1925 to enquire into the causes of "abnormal failures " in the Lower Secondary Examination for some years previously :

(*b*) whether that Committee, if appointed, submitted any report, bringing to light defects in the system of education, or text-books, or school-equipment, etc., and suggesting remedies ;

(*c*) whether any action has been, or will be. taken thereon ; and

(*d*) whether the Government will favour this Council with a copy of the said report and a statement of action taken in consequence ?

Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer.—

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Yes. (*Vide* Government Order No. D. 9219-20—Edn. 84-25-12, dated 26th April 1927.)

(*d*) Copies of the report were supplied to members of the Legislative Council in June 1926. The report was also published and copies made available to the public.

Mr. D. V. Gundappa.—

Q.—**31.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the examiners in the several subjects for the Lower Secondary, the S. S. L. C. and the other public examinations held by the Education Department submit any report annually to the Director of Public Instruction, indicating their opinion regarding the general proficiency of the students and the efficiency of teaching as disclosed to them by the answer papers;

(*c*) if so, how its cost will compare with the cost of generating power by Oil Engines or Suction Gas Engines ?

Mr. K. R. Seshachar.—

A.—(*a*) The scheme is under consideration of the Board of Management who are investigating the question of power supply to the new plants under consideration.

(*b*) If sanctioned, the proposals of pumping from the Tunga will be considered.

(*c*) These figures have not been compiled, but the cost of electric pumping is reported to be the lowest.

Mr. C. P. Basave Gowda.—

Q.—**64.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that some Adikarnatakas of Makanahalli village, Tarikere Taluk, requested the Government to give them a specified land in that village at a concession rate ;

(*b*) how many of them have applied and for how many acres ;

(*c*) was that land auctioned or was it given to them ;

(*d*) if not, why they have not been given ;

(*e*) is it a fact that they were told to apply for another land and is not the land quite useless ;

(*f*) what hindrance was there to give that land to them ; for how much it was auctioned ;

(*g*) if it was auctioned for a higher value, did they not request that they would give the concession rate that the Government demanded ;

(*h*) if it is so, is it not possible to give them now the land they have asked for ; and

(*i*) what is the policy of the Government in up-lifting the poor depressed classes ?

Rajasabhabhushana **K. Chandy.**—

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) About nine families—46 acres and 36 guntas.

(*c*) It was auctioned for Rs. 800.

(*d*) The land was not given to them. Out of the nine families who applied, five had owned lands for *Kulvadi* service, only the rest were landless, and such a large area was not really necessary. Besides the application of the Adikarnatakas were received at a late stage just prior to the sale.

(*e*) Yes. The land adjoining the land in question which was equally good and useful and which could be brought under cultivation at less cost was offered to the applicants.

(*f*) *Vide* reply to (*d*).

(*g*) and (*h*) First they asked for the grant of land free. After the issue of an endorsement to them to apply for the adjoining number and the Sub-Division Officer was asked to confirm the sale, the applicant again applied for the grant of same number for Rs. 400, *i.e.*, for half the sale amount, which the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, was not prepared to accept.

(*i*) The question is too general.

Mr. V. Venkatappa.—

Q.—**84.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) what is the amount ear-marked in the Veterinary Department for the supply of serum and vaccine ;

(*b*) are the Government aware that there is a great demand from the raiyat population to get their animals inoculated and that demand is not adequately met ;

(*c*) do not the Government think that the supply of serum and vaccine is very inadequate ; and if so, what steps have they taken to meet that demand ?

Rajasabhabhushana **K. Chandy.**—

A.—(*a*) Government have been making an initial provision of, from Rs. 30 to Rs. 50,000 every year, but additional sums have been sanctioned as necessity arose.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Yes. A Serum Institute has been recently opened in Bangalore and rinderpest serum has already been prepared and used in a few infected villages with very good results. This work will be developed as more experience is got, and the men under training return.

Mr. V. Venkatappa.—

Q.—**85.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Assistant Inspectresses in the Department of Public Instruction will have no administrative control of Girls' Schools as per the present Reorganisation Scheme and have only inspection and propaganda work;

(*b*) is it true that they will have two clerks each; if so, will it not be superfluous to have two clerks;

(*c*) are the Government aware that the Assistant Inspectors are entrusted with the administration of Girls' Schools also in addition to the very heavy work they already had; and if so, do the Government think that even after the sanction of a third clerk to their offices the establishment is yet inadequate?

Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer.—

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) They have been allowed two clerks each. The Assistant Inspectresses have to conduct inspection in two Districts and the Urdu Assistant Inspectresses in four Districts each. When they had administrative work also they had 3 clerks each. Now the number of clerks is 2. It is too early to say that this number would be found to be superfluous.

(*c*) Yes. The Assistant Inspectors have been given an additional clerk, making a total of 3 each. This number appears to be quite adequate.

Mr. V. Venkatappa.—

Q.—**88.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) is it not a fact that a School for training Patels and Shanbhogs will be started at Bangalore from the 1st January 1928;

(*b*) do the Government think that a period of four months is necessary for the training; if not, can it not be shortened;

(*c*) should the training classes be held at Bangalore alone; if so, do not the Government think that those who go for training will have to spend not less than Rs. 25 a month; does not this expenditure prevent many who want to undergo the training;

(*d*) if the Government think that they cannot arrange to hold the training class at taluk headquarters, can they think of providing accommodation for lodging at Bangalore;

(*e*) are the Government aware that the majority of Patels and Shanbhogs are poor and it is rather difficult for them to make both ends meet; and

(*f*) if this useful intention of the Government is to be carried out successfully and benefit be derived therefrom, do not the Government think that it should be made compulsory and be held at places where the maintenance cost will be less?

Rajasabhabhushana **K. Chandy.**—

A.—(*a*) It is proposed to open a school in Bangalore but the date from which it will begin has not yet been settled.

(*b*) If, by actual experience, it is found that the term can be shortened, the question will be considered.

(*c*) It is also under consideration to open a class at Mysore. The course is entirely optional and failure to take the instruction will not disqualify any candidate from appearing at the Examination. Further extension of the scheme to other centres will be considered after some experience is gained, but if any gentleman comes forward to give free lodging or other help, the question of trying the scheme at any one of the headquarters where Survey Assistants are stationed, *viz.*, Bangalore, Mysore, Tumkur and Shimoga, will be considered.

(*d*) to (*f*) *Vide* answer to (*c*).

(*c*) if it is only confined to officers in the Secretariat, why has it not been extended to others who stand on a level with such officers;

(*d*) in view of the fact that these officers are given their periodical increments attached to their respective grades, the justification for allowing a special allowance of the kind may be stated; and

(*e*) is not the payment of this allowance an extra burden on the State Exchequer and cannot this amount be diverted to improve the lot of the poorly paid and half-starved officials below Rs. 100?

Rajakaryaprasakta Diwan Bahadur **M. N. Krishna Rao.**—

A.—(*a*) Reference is invited to Article 14 A., Mysore Service Regulations.

"A. Duty Allowance may be granted to an officer in addition to pay or salary in consideration of the specially arduous nature of his duties or of increased work or responsibility or for the discharge of the duties which do not properly belong to his office and for which there is no sanctioned appointment."

(*b*) No. There are officers working in offices other than the Secretariat who are in receipt of duty allowances.

(*c*) This question does not arise.

(*d*) *Vide* reply to (*a*). The necessity for the grant of the duty allowance to officers is scrutinised and such of them as are unnecessary or excessive are cut out or reduced.

(*e*) *Vide* answer to question (*d*).

Messrs. Bagamane Deve Gowda, M. C. Linge Gowda and S. C. Malliah.—

Q.—**112.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) what is the amount sanctioned for the current year under the Malnad Improvement Scheme;

(*b*) what is the amount allotted for improvement works and what is the amount spent on establishment entertained purely under the scheme;

(*c*) is not the expenditure incurred on establishment quite disproportionate to that on other items of improvement schemes of practical utility;

(*d*) cannot more funds be allotted for the latter and particularly for well works and for distribution of quinine which are found to be very necessary and essential for combating *malaria*; and

(*e*) what is the arrangement made for the up-keep of such of the roads as are already opened under the Scheme?

Rajasabhabhushana **K. Chandy.**—

A.—(*a*) Rs. 1,29,000.

(*b*) Reference is invited to Government Order No. G. 4292-4303—San. 44-26-11, dated 25th October 1927 distributing the grant for expenditure on account of the several items.

(*c*) No. It must be remembered that the so called establishment charges relate wholly to the cost of organisation for extended medical relief and vaccination—two practical measures of admitted utility for the Malnad. The charges provide for—

4 qualified Lady Medical Officers;
21 new Dispensaries;
6 Ayurvedic and Unani Institutions;
26 Midwives; and
19 additional Vaccinators.

(*d*) This is largely a question of the resources available. As regards quinine, in addition to the supply which reaches the people through the several dispensaries, powders and tabloids aggregating in value to Rs. 5,000 annually for the four districts are purchased and distributed.

(*e*) A portion of the grants made year after year on account of improvement of communications may be utilised for the purpose.

Mr. D. V. Gundappa.—

Q.—**119.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether they are aware of the existence of unrest for some time past among the labourers of the mills known as the Maharaja Mills in Bangalore, owned by the Mysore Spinning and Manufacturing Company, Limited;

(*b*) whether they have made any enquiries as to the grievances of the labourers ;

(*c*) what those grievances are ; and

(*d*) whether the Government have taken or intend to take any steps to secure redress of the grievances ?

Rajakaryaprasakta Diwan Bahadur **M. N. Krishna Rao.**—

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) The alleged grievances are :—

(*i*) Unauthorised dismissal of employees.

(*ii*) Refusal to close the mill on Saturday afternoon.

(*iii*) Working on Sundays whenever required and refusal to treat Sunday always a holiday.

(*iv*) Strict interpretation of the Provident Fund Rules and refusal to pay moneys credited to the fund to employees except in accordance with rules.

(*d*) A Committee has been constituted to consider the lines on which legislative action might be taken to recognise Trade Unions, with a view to enable labour to be organised and facilitate dealing with such situations in future.

Mr. D. H. Chandrasekharaiya.—

Q.—**121.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it was not a fact that the landless Lambanis of Narenahalli in Jagalur Taluk applied for lands under excise *kharab* and if so when ;

(*b*) whether it was not a fact that as a result the lands in *kharab* No. 12 were *phoded* and given to some people at an upset price ;

(*c*) whether it was not a fact that even *Saguvali chits* were issued to the persons who had purchased the said lands and allowed to operate upon them ;

(*d*) whether it is true that the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldrug has withdrawn the *Sagauvali chits* without previous enquiry and therby caused needless trouble and loss to the poor people ; what are the reasons which have induced the said officer to issue such sudden orders in subversion of rights once granted ; and

(*e*) will the Government be pleased to adhere to the grants originally made so as to promote the welfare of the poor and landless people ?

Rajasabhabhushana **K. Chandy.**—

A.—(*a*) Yes. In the year 1926-27.

(*b*) About half the land was ordered to be given for upset price and the other half by sale to the villagers.

(*c*) It is reported that a saguvali chit for phods 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 was issued in favour of 5 Lambanis—(1) Sakriam, (2) Rebya, (3) Purandhara, (4) Hampapathi Naika, and (5) Ramanayaka on 18th July 1927.

(*d*) On the petition of the villagers of Gollarahatti protesting that the grant of the above phods would greatly inconvenience them as those phods were on the border of the village, and stating that they had no objection to the Lambanis being given other phods, the phods close to the village being auctioned, the Deputy Commissioner called for a full report on 22nd July 1927 from the Sub-Division Officer after inspection of the spot in company with the Amildar, and directed that till that was done and matter finally decided, the lands might not be given to either party. This order was communicated to the Sub-Division Officer who directed the Amildar, Jagalur, on 19th July 1927 to withdraw the saguvali chit, if any, that might have been given.

The Amildar accordingly has served a notice on the Lambanis concerned that their saguvali chits should be surrendered.

(*e*) It is reported that on appeal before the Deputy Commissioner the appellants have stated that they would be content with the lands already cultivated by them and it is proposed to allow them to retain the same.

Mr. D. H. Chandrasekharaiya.—

Q.—**127.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) are they aware of the existence of an important temple dedicated to *Mylar Linga Swamy* at Sujikal in Hosdurga Taluk ; if so, will the Government be pleased to furnish information on the following particulars relating to the temple :—

(*i*) the communities to which the devotees of the temple belong ;

(*ii*) the person or persons under whose authority and control the institution is managed;

(*iii*) the total value of the properties belonging to the temple and the annual income derived from the same;

(*iv*) maintenance of account by the management and the balance available at the credit of the temple;

(*v*) debts incurred by the management on behalf of the temple;

(*b*) are the Government aware that the devotees of the temple have resolved in the year 1926 to the effect that the Government might take over the management of the institution and set its affairs on a sound basis in order to afford satisfaction to the public; have not the resolutions passed on that occasion been submitted to the Muzrai Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner of the District for proper action being taken in the matter; what is the result so far achieved; and

(*c*) will the Government be pleased to institute an early enquiry into the matter and settle its affairs in a manner worthy of the institution?

Rajakaryaprasakta Diwan Bahadur **M. N. Krishna Rao.**—

A.—(*a*) There is a temple at Mylarpur and not at Sujikal dedicated to Mylara Lingaswami.

(*i*) All Hindus are reported to be the devotees of this temple.

(*ii*) It is reported that a Committee of ten devotees and five Dharmadarsis are managing the institution.

(*iii*) Information is not available.

(*iv*) No information.

(*v*) Information is not available.

(*b*) and (*c*). The matter is under enquiry.

Mr. D. H. Chandrasekharaiya.—

Q.—**128.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) what is the number of villages through which (or the total area in which) a village postman is generally expected to pass in our State;

(*b*) what is the total number of villages and the area of each of the taluks in the Chitaldrug District and also the total number of village postmen fixed for each of the taluks;

(*c*) have not the Government heard of frequent complaints being made by the rural public that letters and papers do not reach them properly and if so, what action do the Government propose to take to remove this serious grievance; and

(*d*) will the Government be pleased to increase the number of postmen in Davangere Taluk in view of the area and the number of villages comprised therein?

Rajakaryaprasakta Diwan Bahadur **M. N. Krishna Rao.**—

A.—(*a*) Government have no information.

(*b*) The following statement shows the area in square miles and the total number of villages in each of the taluks in the Chitaldrug District. Information regarding the number of postmen employed in each taluk is not available.

No.	Names of taluks	Area in square miles	Number of villages
1	Chitaldrug	530.42	164
2	Challakere	796.36	155
3	Molakalmuru	294.00	80
4	Jagalur	372.67	134
5	Davangere including Haribar	556.72	154 and 75=229
6	Holalkere	406.75	153
7	Hosdurga	567.49	187
8	Hiriyur	635.21	135

(*c*) No.

(*d*) The Postal Department will be addressed.

Mr. D. H. Chandrasekharaiya.—

Q.—**129.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is not true that there is an Incomplete Middle School at Syabanur in Davangere Taluk ; what is the total number of students attending the same and also the total number of teachers working therein ;

(*b*) whether the said school has any building of its own at present ; if not, what is the place (or places) in which the same is located ;

(*c*) how many school buildings have been sanctioned for new construction in Davangere Taluk during the current year ; what are the places in which they are to be built ;

(*d*) have the Government received any contribution from the villagers of Syabanur towards the construction of a school building there ; if so, what is the amount and when was it received ; and will the Government be pleased to sanction a school building at once for the said place so as to obviate the inconveniences connected with the location of the school ?

Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer.—

A.—(*a*) Yes. Information has been called for, *re* the number of students in the schools.

Two teachers in the Middle School Section.

Two teachers in the Primary School Section.

(*b*) No ; the school is being held in a Chavady.

(*c*) Four. Primary Schools at Doddahatti, Alur, Avergola and Urdu Girls' School, Davangere.

(*d*) Yes. Rs. 400 in January 1918.

The construction of this building has been included in the three years' programme.

Mr. D. H. Chandrasekharaiya.—

Q.—**130.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government are aware of the fact that the salary of one C. M. Veeraiya who is now the school master in the Primary School at Devikere in Jagalur Taluk is due to him for having worked at Gadimakunte in the same taluk in the year 1923 ; if so, what is the total amount due to him and why has not the same been paid to him so far ;

(*b*) has not the said school master been sending frequent reports to the Educational officers requesting them to sanction the amount and pay it to him : what is the delay of the Educational Officers due to ; and

(*c*) will the Government be pleased to enquire into the matter and render relief to the said school master at once ?

Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer.—

A.—(*a*) No.

(*b*) and (*c*) The Director will enquire into the matter.

Mr. D. H. Chandrasekharaiya.—

Q.—**135.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) what is the total number of students that a teacher in a Primary School is expected to control and teach ;

(*b*) what is the total number of students attending the Primary School at Jajur in Challakere Taluk and how many teachers are working there ;

(*c*) have not the villagers often brought to the notice of the officers concerned the difficulty of controlling large number of students with the existing teachers ; and if so, what have the authorities done in the matter ; and will the Government be pleased to enquire into the same and afford proper facilities for the spread of education ?

Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer.—

A.—(*a*) About 30 pupils.

(*b*) Information has been called for.

(*c*) Information has been called for.

Mr. D. H. Chandrasekharaiya.—

Q.—**136.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) when was the latest Regulation on the use of uniform weights and measures in the State passed, and since then in how many places has the same been introduced already; if it has not been introduced, what are the reasons for delay;

(*b*) whether the Government are aware that in Davangere which is a place of large commercial activities, various measures of capacity and weight are in use so as to cause confusion and chaos in the mind of the illiterate villagers coming for trade; and

(*c*) will the Government be pleased to extend the provisions of the New Weights and Measures Regulation to the town of Davangere and take proper measures to enforce the same at once?

Rajakaryaprasakta Diwan Bahadur **M. N. Krishna Rao.**—

A.—(*a*) In April 1927.

The Regulation has not yet been enforced in any locality; before the Regulation is enforced it is necessary to issue rules to administer its provisions and make available to the public an adequate supply of certified measures. Rules have been framed and are under scrutiny and arrangements for ensuring a supply of certified measures are also under consideration.

(*b*) Different weights and measures are reported to be in use in Davangere.

(*c*) The suggestion will be considered.

Mr. G. Channappa.—

Q.—**142.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have recently passed an order giving a warning to the Municipal Council at Davangere and also sanctioning the appointment of the Chief Officer to work under the said Municipality; if so, will the Government be pleased to lay the full order on the table of this House for information;

(*b*) whether the said order is the result of a special enquiry made into the affairs of the Municipality or that of reports received from the subordinate officers; if it is the result of the latter, who are the officers that have sent the reports and what are they;

(*c*) have the Government ascertained the truth of the said reports before acting upon the same; and if so, how have the Government proposed to apportion the blame, if any, between the Executive and the Consultative sides of the said Municipal Council?

Rajasabhabhushana **K. Chandy.**—

A.—(*a*), (*b*) and (*c*) A copy of the General Secretary's letter No. L. 8817—Ml. 167-26-2, dated 10th June 1927 is placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix III).

No further information can be given.

Mr. H. B. Gundappa.—

Q.—**144.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government are aware of the fact that there was defalcation in Hole-Narsipur Treasury in the month of October 1925;

(*b*) if so, what was the amount actually defalcated and what action was taken by the authorities concerned in recouping the amount;

(*c*) if the amount was recouped immediately, how were the accounts adjusted;

(*d*) is the defalcation included in the Audit and Appropriation Report of 1925-26; if not, why is it not included in the report prepared by the Comptroller;

(*e*) was not the Amildar who is excepted to check the cash on the 1st of every month able to know the deficit; and

(*f*) who were the officials involved and what disciplinary action was taken against the parties concerned?

Rajasabhabhushana **K. Chandy.**—

A.—(*a*) The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, reported a shortage of cash in the Hole-Narsipur Taluk Treasury on 5th October 1925.

(*b*) The shortage was Rs. 2,000, made good immediately by the Shroff.

(*c*) The cash recovery necessitated no adjustment in accounts.

(*d*) The case was not included in the "Audit and Appropriation Report," as it was explained by the Shroff as due to his troubled state of mind on that day on account of the death of his grandson, and on enquiry by the Deputy Commissioner it was found that it was due to the Sheristadar's negligence which facilitated the removal of the bundle of Currency Notes, which was surreptitiously removed by some persons unknown. (*Vide* G. O. No. R. 3977-8—R. M. 24-25, dated 5th February 1926).

(*e*) The deficit was found only on the 5th day of the month by the Deputy Commissioner of the District during his inspection of the Taluk Treasury, there having been no shortage on the 1st.

(*f*) The Sheristadar was degraded to a ministerial appointment, and the Shroff compulsorily retired.

Mr. H. B. Gundappa.—

Q.—**147.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether they are aware that Hassan climate and soil are well suited for fruit culture and horticulture; and

(*b*) if so, will they take early action to start model demonstration farms for the above purpose?

Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer.—

A.—(*a*) Portions of Hassan District are suited for fruit culture.

(*b*) The suggestion is noted and will be considered when funds permit.

Mr. S. L. Mannaji Rao Kadom.—

Q.—**151.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) when the Mysore Civil Service was started;

(*b*) the names of gentlemen that secured ranks in each of the years when Mysore Civil Service Examination was held and the communities to which they belong;

(*c*) the names of gentlemen that were appointed as Assistant Commissioners from the passed candidates;

(*d*) the names of gentlemen that were nominated to the Assistant Commissioners' cadre along with the candidates that passed the Mysore Civil Service Examination in each of those years and the communities to which each of them belongs; and

(*e*) the names of gentlemen that have been nominated or promoted to the Civil Service cadre other than the above since the Mysore Civil Service was introduced and the communities to which they belong?

Mr. N. Madhava Rao.—

A.—(*a*) The Mysore Civil Service was constituted in November 1891.

(*b*) Thirty-nine persons have been appointed on the basis of the results of the competitive examination, of whom thirty-five are Brahmins, three Christians and one Mahomedan.

(*c*) and (*d*) Thirty-five persons were recruited by nomination consisting of

Christians	3
Ursus	7
Brahmins	9
Mahomedans	6
Vaisyas	2
Lingayets	2
Mudaliar	1
Vokkaligar	1
Naidu	1
Coorg	1
Devanga	1
Rajput	1

(*e*) From the year 1913, thirty-seven persons from the subordinate ranks were appointed as Assistant Commissioners, *viz* :—

Brahmins	27
Mahomedans	4
Coorg	1
Lingayets	2
Christian	1
Kshatriya	1
Parsi	1

(Information prior to 1913 has not been compiled.)

Mr. H. C. Dasappa.—

Q.—**154.** Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) when the School of Civil Engineering was started ;

(*b*) when was it transferred to Bangalore and amalgamated with the School of Engineering ;

(*c*) what was the average strength of Civil Engineering School while at Mysore and the strength of the school at the time of transfer ;

(*d*) what is the present strength of the School of Engineering and of the Civil Engineering Section ;

(*e*) whether the Government propose to transfer the School of Engineering to Mysore ; and

(*f*) do the students who have passed out of the School of Engineering, Bangalore, suffer from any unemployment ?

Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer.—

A.—(*a*) 1903.

(*b*) July 1922.

(*c*) A statement furnishing the information is printed below :—

Year	First year	Second year	Total	Remarks
1916-17	24	25	49	
1917-18	25	22	47	
1918-19	31	19	50	
1919-20	22	26	48	
1920-21	9	19	28	
1921-22	5	9	14	
1922-23	6	4	10	The school was transferred to Bangalore in July 1922.

(*d*) Two hundred and sixty-two and forty-eight.

(*e*) No.

(*f*) Government are informed that most of the students have found employment.

APPENDIX I.

[Vide Reply to Question 4 (b).]

Statement showing the villages where rinderpest prevailed during September 1927 in Mysore District.

Serial No.	Name of taluk	Hobli	Village	Date of commencement of disease
1	Mysore	Chatnahalli	Burudenpura	28-6-27
2	Do	Do	Daripura	5-8-27
3	Do	Do	Chatnahalli	25-7-27
4	Do	Kasaba	Kalasiddanahundi	10-8-27
5	Nanjangud	Hulhalli	Hosavidu	July 1927
6	Do	Do	Madhuvanhalli	Do
7	Do	Do	Hura	3-7-27
8	Do	Do	Malhalli	August 1927
9	Do	Do	Malkundi	17-8-27
10	Do	Do	Hajinalu	Do
11	Do	Chikchatra	Thoremavoo	July 1927
12	Do	Kasaba	Hosakote or Musk	1-8-27
13	Do	Do	Hedathale	3-8-27
14	Do	Biligere	Chinamballi	25-9-27
15	Do	Kavalandi	Tharadale	17-8-27
16	Seringapatam	Hyathanahalli	Kadathanaloo	6-3-27
17	Do	Do	Kengalkoppal	5-8-27
18	Do	Belagola	Palhalli	4-8-27
19	Do	Arakere	Duggamanpalya	Sept. 1927
20	Do	Do	Gebragala	Aug. 1927
21	Hunsur	Bettadapura	Haradur	20-6-27
22	Do	Do	Sangarsettihalli	7-8-27
23	Do	Do	Halaganhalli	3-9-27
24	Do	Do	Maradur	27-6-27
25	Do	Bilikere	Ayarhalli	20-8-27
26	Do	Do	Singanhalli	8-9-27
27	Do	Do	Bolenhalli	26-8-27
28	Do	Periyapatna	Periyapatna	19-9-27
29	Do	Harnhalli	Hunasagala	23-8-27
30	Do	Hanagode	Adigongalli	15-9-27
31	Yedatore	Yeragamballi	Komaranpura	3-8-27
32	Do	Kasaba	Ambalai	25-9-27
33	Do	Do	Honnur	15-9-27
34	Heggaddevankote.	Saragur	Hadanur	10-7-27
35	Do	Do	Saragur	Aug. 1927
36	Do	Do	Biravalu	20-9-27
37	Do	Kasaba	Itna	20-7-27
38	Chamrajnagar	Chandakavadi	Chandakavadi	7-7-27
39	Do	Do	Allipura	July 1927
40	Do	Do	Saragur	16-8-27
41	Do	Do	Dadadahalli	1-9-27
42	Do	Do	Kagalvadi	12-9-27
43	Do	Do	Honaganur	10-9-27
44	Do	Haradanhalli	Bevathalpura	17-8-27
45	Do	Do	Kodiggana	26-8-27
46	Do	Do	Amachavadi	25-9-27
47	Do	Harve	Kengaki	Do
48	Do	Do	Sagada	8-8-27
49	Do	Santhemarahalli	Bevahalli	15-9-27
50	Do	Do	Irasavadi	21-8-27
51	Gundlupet	Terakanambi	Kadosage	9-7-27
52	Do	Hangala	Devarhalli	28-7-27
53	Do	Do	Hangaladapura	5-7-27
54	Do	Do	Honnegowdanhalli	18-8-27
55	Do	Do	Kebbulpura	9-9-27
56	Do	Kasaba	Kannegal	Aug. 1927
57	Yedatore	Do	Chiranhalli	10-8-27
58	Do	Do	Beluli	3-8-27
59	Do	Do	Hosahallipalya	3-9-27
60	Do	Chunchankatte	Arekalkoppal	15-8-27
61	Do	Do	Karatal	Aug. 1927

APPENDIX II.

[*Vide Reply to Question* 14 (*b*) *and* (*c*).]

Statement showing the Companies carrying on Life Assurance Work.

I. Local.

1. Asiatic Government Security Life Assurance Company, Limited.
2. Reliance Assurance Company, Limited.

II. Foreign.

3. Oriental Government Security Life Assurance Company, Limited.
4. Bombay Mutual Life Assurance Society, Limited.
5. National Indian Life Insurance Company, Limited.
6. Standard Life Assurance Company.
7. Empire of India Life Insurance Company, Limited.
8. Norwich Union Life Insurance Society, Limited.
9. Zenith Life Assurance Company, Limited.
10. Lakshmi Insurance Company, Limited.
11. The Venus Assurance Bank, Limited.
12. The General Assurance Society, Limited.
13. The Bharat Insurance Company, Limited.
14. The Prudential Assurance Company, Limited.

APPENDIX III.

[*Vide Reply to Question* 142.]

Letter No. 8817—Ml. 167-26-2, dated 10th June 1927, from the General Secretary to Government, to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 8903, dated 21st March 1927, from the Chief Engineer in Mysore, submitting a communication received from the Assistant Engineer, Davangere, in regard to the relation between himself and the Municipal Council. It appears that the Municipal Council have passed a resolution to the effect that all works and materials collected should be checked by the Municipal Engineer and Sanitary Inspector along with the respective Committees who should sign the measurement book and the respective bills in token of their correctness after which alone, the bills should be passed for payment. The resolution may be construed as modifying the duties of several officers and servants of the Municipal Council for which rules have to be framed or varied under section 46 and sanctioned by Government. Moreover payment of bills is an executive function which under sub-section 24 (1) (*b*) of the Regulation is vested in the President unless delegated under section 37 of the Regulation. It is also observed that such delegation may be made to the Vice-President or Chairman of a Committee or to one or more stipendiary or honorary officers but not to a Committee. The Association of the Committees or of the Sanitary Inspector who is a subordinate servant with the Municipal Engineer in the discharge of his executive functions contempleted in the resolution is unauthorised.

2. In these circumstances, I am to state that the resolution of the Municipal Council is not in order.

3. In para 3 of his letter the Assistant Engineer also objects to non-technical men checking his work and orders but he has no objection to the President who is the superior Executive Government Officer checking his work. The President may not always be a Government Officer. It is the duty of the Assistant Engineer to carry out any instructions that may be given by the President or any duly authorised person as explained in para 1 who may be a non-official also.

4. I am to request that the observations in paras 1 and 2 be communicated to the Davangere Municipality and necessary action taken to prevent friction between the Council and the Executive Staff.

RA 22-27-1

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

December 1927.

OFFICE OF THE SEC
D-98/R
23 NOV 1927
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY
AND
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Question No. 92

BY MR. D.H.CHANDRASEKHARAIYA:-

Will the Government be pleased to state:-

(a) What are the various cases filed in different courts against the elections to the Representative Assembly and Legislative Council that were conducted during the current year?

(b) With regard to these cases the following information may kindly be furnished:-

(i) Whether a decision has already been reached, and if so, what is it?

(ii) How many adjournments has each case gone through and what is the work done on each date of adjournment? (A brief reference will do.)

(c) Is there any time-limit for decisions in such cases, if so, how many of the cases filed have conformed to that l

(d) What are the cases in which Appeals have been filed against the decisions of trial courts and what is the decis of the Appellate Court in each of such cases?

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Immediate

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 98 Rel

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 24/4/27

Signed by..... V.C.M. Madras

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section RA

File No. 22-27

Seria No. 2

No. REh. 289-296 /RA

Encl-nil

To The Deputy Commissioners of Districts

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of interpellation No: 87 by Mr. D. H. Chandrashekharaiah, Member of the Mysore Legislative Council at the ensuing Session of the Council and to request that the information required in subdivisions (a), (b) & (c) to (f) so far as relates to Bellary may be furnished

over

(3

to this Office by the 29th Instant.

I have &c

24/11 For Secretary to Govt

Law department

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

(4

SUBJECT.....

Immediate

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section RA
File No. 22-27
Seria No. 3
No. REh-297/RA

Enclosure

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

To The Registrar
Chief Court of Mysore

Sir,

Date of issue 24.4.27

Signed by Mr. T. Sugara
Velu Adoy

In forwarding herewith a copy of interpellation No: 87 by Mr. D. H. Chan- -Sekharaiya, Member Mysore Legislative Council at the ensuing Session of the Legislative Council for which I am directed to request that information regarding required therein so far as elections to the Legislative Council are concerned

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

(5)

May be obtained and
forwarded to this office
~~Vancouver~~ by the 29th Instant

A. Chamberlain

8/11/11

for Secretary of State
for Law ~~Department~~

6

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

HASSAN DISTRICT.

Fort 66 of 193

Dated Hassan, the.....th.....Nov.....*192* 7 .

D 103/REG

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
26. NOV 1927
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY AND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

R.A 22-27-4

.....V. T. [illegible].....ESQUIRE, B. A., B. L.,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, HASSAN DISTRICT.

To

.....L. [illegible].....ESQUIRE, B.A-at-Law,

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARJA.
OF MYSORE, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, BANGALORE.

SIR,

Subject: [illegible] by Mr. D.J.Chandrappa Nayaka
re: [illegible] elections.

[illegible] your letter [illegible] the [illegible] by Mr. [illegible] Nayaka, [illegible] Legislative Council [illegible] to [illegible] filed in this [illegible] the [illegible] Assembly [illegible].

[illegible] your obedient servant,

[illegible],

[illegible] to District,

[illegible] District.

(7

R. A 22-27-5

In all future correspondence please quote No. A.L C.810/27

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

D. 104/REL

Shimoga Dated 26th November 1927

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
THE L.A.A.
28 NOV 1927
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

From

B. T. KESAVAIENGAR, Esq., B. A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law,

Secretary to Government,

Law Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. Rel. 289-296/R A. dated 24th November 1927, I have the honour to forward herewith a memo containing information called for in respect of election petitions of the Representative Assembly received and disposed of in this District.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner, absent from H.Q. on tour
Shimoga District.

SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Reply to Question No. 87 by Mr. D.M. Chendrasekharaiya, Member, Legislative Council.

*****

QUESTION.	ANSWER.
(a) What are the various cases filed in different Courts against the elections to the Representative Assembly and Legislative Council that were conducted during the current year?	There were four cases filed in connection with the Representative Assembly elections.
(b) With regard to these cases the following information may kindly be furnished:-	
(i) Whether a decision has already been reached and if so, what is it?	All the four cases have been disposed of. The petitions were dismissed and the elections were upheld.
(ii) How many adjournments has each case gone through and what is the work done on each date of adjournment. (A brief reference will do)	(1) Petition of Mr. Kosahalli Venkatappa Gowda of Tirthahalli filed on 19-3-1927. There were no adjournments in this case. The parties were heard and the case disposed of on the first date of hearing, 30-3-1927. (2) Petition of Mr. Abdul Satar Sab of Bhadravati - Shimoga Taluk. Petition filed on 19-3-1927. There were three adjournments in this case. 1-4-1927:- Preliminary arguments were heard and the points on which evidence had to be taken were recorded. 6-4-1927:- The parties and 9 witnesses were examined. 11-4-1927: Arguments were heard and orders passed. (3) Petition of Mr. Kariganur Malappa of Chennagiri Taluk. Petition filed on 18-3-1927. There were four adjournments in this case. 1-4-1927:- The points on which evidence should be taken were recorded. 13-4-1927:- Ten witnesses were examined 19-4-1927:- The petitioner and his one witnesses were examined. 19-4-1927:- Arguments heard. Orders passed on 24-4-1927. (4) Petition of Mr. G... Rangappa of Honnali Taluk. Petition filed on 21-3-27 There were two adjournments in this case 5-4-1927:- 15 witnesses for petitioner and opponents were examined. 14-4-1927:- Three polling officers examined. Arguments heard. Order passed on 24-4-1927.

(c) Is there any time limit for decision in such cases and if so, how many of the cases filed have been conformed to that limit?

There is no limit of time fixed in the rules issued under Notifications dated 7-11-1923.

(d) What are the cases in which appeals have been filed against the decisions of trial courts and what is the decision of the appellate court in each of such cases?

There were no appeals.

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

Immediate. (10

W D 504—GPB—1,000—23-9-22

D.C.4]

No. L.Dis. 1510/27-28 OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT.

ENC One *Dated Chikmagalur, 26th November 1927.*

FROM R.A22-27-6.

H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., B.A., I.C.S.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

L 106/REV
TO SECRETARY

OFFICE OF THE
M.S.N.A.
28 NOV 1927
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY
AND
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

L. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
Law Department, *Bangalore.*

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. Rel.280-296/M.A: dated the 24th instant calling for certain information relating to Interpellation No. 87 to be answered at the ensuing Session of the Legislative Council, I have the honour to enclose herein a statement embodying therein the particulars required on all the points in-seriatim.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

H V Ramaswami
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

M.N.S.

Enclosure (11

Question No. 87.

(a) Four in the Deputy Commissioner's Court Kadur District, relating to elections of members to the Representative Assembly.

(b) (i) All the four were disposed of as hereunder

Three dismissed as a result of the enquiries held; and one withdrawn by the petitioner himself subsequently.

(ii) Cases Nos. M.P. 1 and 2/27-28

- Mudigere Taluk -

In these cases, the records were submitted to Government on 25th March 1927 for orders and were returned from Government on 6th April 1927 for disposal, and they were posted to 30th April 1927 as there were excise sales to be conducted in the District till the 29th April 1927.

20-4-27 Adjourned to following day at the reques of parties and their counsels.

1-5-27 - Do - to 13-5-27.

13-5-27 Adjourned to the following day as the Deputy Commissioner had to accompany the Excise Commissioner to Mudigere on inspection work.

14-5-27 Petitioner and 1 witnesses examined and cross examined. Adjourned to next day.

15-5-27 Four more witnesses on behalf of the petitioner were examined and cross examined. Adjourned to 19-5-27 at Mudigere

19-5-27 As owing to urgent work at Head Quarters the Deputy Commissioner could not go to Mudigere earlier than 4.45 P.M. and as the counsels had left the place by then, and as the parties wanted some more witnesses to be summoned on their behalf

behalf, left instructions in the matter and adjourned the case to 22-5-27 at Chikmagalur.

22-5-27 One witness for the petitioner examined and his case closed. The other side examined 11 witnesses and their cross exarination also was over. Adjourned to next day.

23-5-27 Examination of the two opponents and of one more witness on their behalf. The other side closed their case. Adjourned to 1-6-27 for orders.

1-6-27 Petitions dismissed.

E.P. No. 3/27-28 (Sringeri Jaghir)

24-3-27 Petitioner examined. Adjourned to 6-4-27 for securing the presente of the opponent and obtaining the ballot box.

6-4-27 heard arguments on both sides and posted to 8-4-27 for orders.

8-4-27 Petition rejected.

E.P. No. 4/27-28 (N.R.Pura Sub Taluk)

24-3-27 Proceedings dropped as the petitioner withdrew his petition.

(c) No.

(d) Appeals were filed to Government in respect of three cases, and all of them were dismissed.

Note:- No objection petition seems to have been filed against the return of the Member for the Legislative Council from this District in the Shimoga District Court.

H C Ramamurthy

Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

L.W.3.

(13 R.A 22—27-7.

URGENT.

D. C. 6]

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated Tumkur,...26th..November.....1927.

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,
Tumkur.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
29. NOV 1927
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY AND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

To

B. Nagappa, Esquire, Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department. *Bangalore.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. Rev. 289-296/ R.A. dated the 24th November 1927, I have the honor to forward herewith a memo containing information to - question No. 87 by Mr. D.H. Chendrasekhariah, Member of the Mysore Legislative Council, to be answered at the ensuing session of the Council.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

26/11/27

AD.26/11.

QUESTIONS.

Replies to question No. 87 by Mr. D.H. Chendrasakharaih, Member of the Mysore Legislative Council.

ANSWERS.

(a) What are the various cases filed in different courts against the elections to the Representative Assembly and Legislative Council during the current year?.

(a) Deputy Commissioner's court, Tumkur.
Six cases relating to the elections to the Representative Assembly.

(b) (i) Whether a decision has already been reached and (ii) if so, what is it?

(i) Yes.
(ii) One case was withdrawn. Three applications were dismissed. In one case the petitioner was declared - elected.
In one case the 2nd opponent was declared duly elected.

(b) (ii) How many adjournments has each case gone through and what is the work done on each date of adjournment) A brief reference will do).

Case No. Pet. 1213/26-27
Five adjournments (four owing to absence of witnesses or Advocates or parties or ~~that~~ at the request of parties.
At One - Examined witnesses.

2. Case No. pet 1215/26-27.
Ten adjournments. (six for absence of witnesses or Advocates or parties or at the request of parties
At four - Examined witnesses)

3. Case No. Pet. 1217/26-27
Eight adjournments (four for absence of witnesses or Advocates or parties or ~~that~~ at the request of parties of for hearing arguments.
At four - Examined witnesses.)

(15

4. Case No. Pet. 1216/26-27

Twelve adjournments.

(five for absence of witnesses or advocates or parties or at the request of parties or for want of time or for hearing arguments.

At seven - Examined witnesses.)

5 and 6 Case Nos. Pet 1212 and 1214/26-27

The records in these cases have been submitted to Government. Hence particulars of adjournments cannot be furnished.

c.(a) Is there any time limit for decisions in such cases and

c(a) No.

(b) if so, how many of the cases have conformed to that limit?

(b) Does not arise.

(d) What are the cases in which appeals have been filed against the decision of trial courts and what is the decision of the appellate court in such cases?

In (d)/the following 4 cases appeals were preferred:-

1. Pet 1215/26-27
2. " 1217/ "
3. " 1212/ "
4. " 1214/26-27

The first 3 appeals were dismissed by Government and the result in the other case is not yet known.

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.
26/11/27

AD. 26/11.

RRA 22-27-8

(16

In all future communications please quote --
No. E-1-2073/27-28
Enc. Enc. one

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated Bangalore, the 28th November *1927.*

S-108 (REA) Mr. J N H

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
29. NOV 1927
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY
and
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

FROM

G. B. HEBLIKAR, ESQ., B.A., L.L.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore.

~~SUBJECT:~~—

SIR,

In reply to your letter No.Rell.289-296/R.A. dated the 24th instant, re the various cases filed against the elections to the Representative Assembly etc., I have the honor to submit herewith a Memorandum of answers to the questions referred by Mr.D.H.Chendrasekharaiya, Member of Mysore Legislative Council(as desired by you in the said reference).

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G B Heblikar

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

R.A.

29.11

Memorandum of matters to the questions referred to by

Sl. No.	Case No:	Names of parties	Date of Institution	Date of disposal	Nature of disposal	No. of adjournments: (1) for witnesses examined at hearings	(2) Granted at the request of the parties	(3) Given on account of
1	1/26-27	Mr. Sitaramayya Garu vs Mr. Ranganayakamma Garu	16-3-1927			1	3	1
2	2/26-27	Mr. V. Suraiah vs Mr. B. Srinivasamurthy	21-3-1927	10-8-1927	Withdrawn		6	
3	3/26-27	Mr. Subbanna Gowda vs Messrs Narayana Gowda & Venkatasubbiah	21-3-1927	2-11-1927	Dismissed		5	
4	4/26-27	Mr. K.S. Lingegowda vs Mr. A.M. Balappa	21-3-1927	9-6-1927	Dismissed		5	
5	5/26-27	Mr. B.L. Byrappa vs Messrs B. Srinivasamurthy & A. Sarabanna	21-3-1927	27-5-1927	Dismissed		1	
6	6/26-27	Mr. B.D. Nanda vs Messrs G.K. Bahija & B.V. Ramaswami Chetty	27-4-1927	30-9-1927	Election Cancelled	2	5	
7	7/26-27	Mr. Kamala Krishna Murthy Rao vs Mr. V. Suraiah	7-6-1927			1	4	

Vide D.A. Chandrasekharaya Member Mysore Legislature Council.

Other cases	For arguments	Actual number of adjournments	Appeals preferred	Remarks
1	3	9	—	(1) Is being adjourned on account of absence of petitioner or his witnesses. The petitioner states that he has preferred an appeal on an interlocutory order passed on 5-11-1927 by this court and an adjournment has been granted therefor till 22-12-1927.
4	—	10	No	
4	1	10	Yes	The records have been submitted to Government on 14-11-1927
3	1	9	No	
1	2	4	No	
3	2	12	—	
2	2	10	—	(2) Adjourned to 1-2-1928 at the request of the petitioner. No time limit is fixed for the decision of these cases.

Dy Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

D. 110 Rel

L. dis. 1772/27-28.
Enc. one.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District. (18

Dated Mysore, 28th November 1927

RA22-29-9

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
30 NOV 1927
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

From A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MYSORE DISTRICT.

To B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Legal Remembrancer and Law Secretary
to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

30/11

Sir, Legislative Council - Interpellation 87.

With reference to your letter No.Rel.289-296/R.A. ted 24th November 1927, I have the honour to furnish herewith the information required to answer the interpellation No.87 by Mr.D.H. Chandrasekharaiya, Member Legislative Council at the ensuing Session of the Council.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Please withhold for reminder 30/11

CMS.

Legislative Council. Interpella

Sl.No.	Interpellation.	Reply.
	(a) What are the various cases filed in different courts against the elections to the R.A. that were conducted during the year?	Four Representative Assembly Election Cases filed before this Court as per details below- (1) Mis.49/26-27 - (Yedatore Rural Election Mr.B.R.Lakshmana Setty Vs.Mr.Bale Siddalinga Setty). (2) Mis.50/26-27 Krishnarajapet Rural Election. Mr.SambhuGowda VS.Messrs.S.Thimmayya Gowda alias ThimmaGowda and Linga Gowda. (3) Mis.51/26-27 - Hegdedevankote Hegade Rural Election Mr.Dasa Gowda VS.Mr. Lakshminaranappa. (4) Mis.52/26-27 - Mysore Urban Election - Mr.Mahamad Sait and three others VS Mr.M. Venkatakrishnaiya and 3 others.
	(b) With regard to these cases the following information may kindly be furnished.	
	(1) Whether a decision has already been reached, and if so what is it?	Decision was arrived at in each case as follows:- (1) The Election of Mr.Siddalinga Setti was set aside and the candidate who secured the highest number of votes next to Mr.Siddalinga Setti was declared elected. Re (2) the case was dismissed. Re (3 and 4) the cases were dismissed with costs.
	(2) How many adjournments has each case gone through and what is the work done on each date of adjournment?	Regarding (1) above, (Mis.Case 49/26-27) The records connected with the case were forwarded to the Secretary to the Government Law Department, Bangalore, with my letter No. Mis.49/26-27 dated 8th October 1927, with reference to his letter No.Rel.172 dated 19-9-1927. As the same have not been returned it is not possible to furnish this ~~xxxxx~~ information, in respect of this case. Regarding (3) (Mis.51/26-27) Seven adjournments were given. 5-4-27 First day. Opponent was given a copy of the petition and was asked to file his reply on 7-4-27. Both parties were asked to file the list of witnesses on 7-4-1927. Second day - 7-4-27. Petitioner filed the list of witnesses. Petitioner granted time to file his reply etc. Third day. 8-4-27. Opponent produced his reply and witness list. Summons ordered to be issued. Fourth day. 2-5-27. All the six witnesses brought by the petitioner were examined. Petitioner was at his request ordered to bring his remaining witnesses and case adjourned to 20-5-27.

Fifth day - 20-5-27. Three petitioners' witnesses were examined. Case adjourned to 4-6-27. It was ordered that both parties should bring their witnesses and that no further adjournments will be granted.

Sixth day - 8-7-27. Petitioner and the two counter-petitioner's witnesses were examined.

Seventh day - 8-7-27. Counterpetitioner and his eight witnesses examined and case closed.

Orders pronounced on 12-7-27.

Regarding (2) Krishnarajapet Case (Mis. 50/26-27)

7. Adjournments.

First day 26-3-1927 Opponents ordered to file their written statement on 30-3-27 and to give a copy to the petitioner in advance. Case adjourned to 30-3-27 and both parties ordered to file lists of witnesses on that date.

Second day 30-3-27 Opponents filed written statement and list of witnesses. Summons ordered to be issued. Case adjourned to 18-4-1927.

Third day 18-4-1927 Case cannot be taken as I returned late from T.Narasipur. ~~and~~ ~~for~~ ~~counter-~~

Fourth day 19-4-27. Six witnesses for petitioner and one for counterpetitioner examined. Case adjourned to 20-4-27.

Fifth day. 20-4-27. Six more witnesses for petitioner and the petitioner examined. All others dispensed with by the petitioner ~~xxxx~~ except three. Four more witnesses for counterpetitioner examined. Others dispensed except one. Case adjourned to 4-5-27. Both parties to take out fresh summons.

Sixth day. 4-5-27. Petitioners' witnesses not present— Not served. Petitioner to take out fresh summons. (Petitioner did not take out summons. He has lost his right. But as there is to be an adjournment, summons will issue if produces stamps and pays batta at his risk) Caseadjourned to 2-6-27.

Seventh day. 2-6-27. Both parties dispense with all the unexamined witnesses and close their cases. Arguments heard.

Orders pronounced on 6-6-1927.

Regarding (4) Mysore City Election Case.
7 adjournments.

First day - 26-3-27. The name of the President, City Municipality is removed from the list of opponents. The preliminary objection of other opponents to the effect that the ~~same~~ combined petition by all the four jointly against all the opponents is not maintainable is overruled. Opponents file written statements and petitioners to file rejoinder and both parties to file witness lists on 30-3-27.

Second day. 30-3-27. Petitioners file rejoinder.

(21)

Both parties file list of witnesses. Summons ordered to be issued. Case adjourned to 2-4-1927.

Third day - 2-4-1927. Petitioners file a petition requesting permission to scrutinise ballot papers along with counterfoils and also notices. Posted for hearing on 6-4-1927.

Fourth day. 6-4-27. Heard both parties and passed orders on the petition.

Fifth day. 7-4-27. Petitioners file a petition applying for the inspection of invalid votes. Both parties heard and orders passed.

Sixth day. 20-4-27. Application for summoning of petitioners witnesses was received only on 18-4-27 and no application from opponents. Both sides are warned to take out summons. Case posted for hearing 14-5-27.

Seventh day - 14-5-27. Three witnesses examined. Case adjourned to 2-6-1927.

Eighth day - 2-6-27. Petitioners dispensed with the evidence of Mr.T.S.Alikhan. Five other witnesses present and examined Case adjourned to 22-6-27.

Ninth day. 22-6-27. Petitioners fail to take out process by giving correct address. Orders reserved.

Tenth day. 30-6-27. The petition is dismissed with costs.

(c) Is there any time limit for decisions in such cases and if so how many of the cases filed have conformed to that limit.

There is no time limit fixed either in the R.A. Regulation or rules thereunder.

(d) What are the cases in which appeals have been filed against the decisions of trial courts and what is the decision of the appellant court in each of such cases?

In two cases viz., (1) and (3) Heggadadevankote and Nedatore rural elections, were appeals filed against the decision of this court.

In Heggadadevankote case the decision of this court was set aside both the petitioners and opponent were declared guilty of corrupt practice and the candidate who secured the highest number of votes next to was declared elected.

The appeal petition in Nedatore case is pending in Government.

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

S 111 Rel

In all future correspondence please quote—
No. A/C.1095
Encls.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT.

Dated Kolar, the 29th November 1927.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
30. NOV 1927
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY AND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

FROM

A.K.Syed Taj Peeran, Esq.,B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

B.Nagappa, Esq., Bar-At-Law,
Legal Remembrancer and
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
.....Law.....*Department,*
Bangalore.

Please return the Copy to be returned per R.A. 30/11

Interpellation in Legislative Council Meeting-December Session

With reference to your letter No.R.Elc.289-296/R.A. dated the 24th instant calling for information regarding question No.87 by Mr.D.H.Chandrashakaraiya, Member of the Mysore Legislative Council to be answered at the Legislative Council meeting to be held on the 5th December 1927, I have the honour to state as follows:-

As regards question (a):-

Two cases were filed re: the elections to the Representative Assembly, one from the Rural constituency and another from the Urban Constituency of Sidlaghatta.

(b) (i) In both the said cases, the applications were dismissed. In the case for the Rural Constituency the case has been dismissed each party bearing his cost. In the case for the Urban Constituency the petition was dismissed and the petitioner was directed to pay the opponents' costs.

(ii) In the case for Rural Constituency there were 14 adjournments and in the Urban Constituency case there were 10 adjournments. The reasons for adjournment are noted in the margin.

(d) In both the cases, appeals have been preferred before Government and the connected records have been submitted with this

(23)

this Office No.A2-C.1589/26-27 dated the 28th November 1927.

Question No.C. has to be answered in the negative as there is no time limit fixed.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

A.R.
29/11/27.

X

Reasons for adjournments.

Case No.1.

1.	(a) For hearing objections to the entertainment of the petition.	1.
	(b) On account of urgent engagements of the Deputy Commissioner.	2.
	(c) Deputy Commissioner's absence from Head Quarters.	3.
	(d) At the request of parties.	2.
	(e) For examination of witnesses.	5.
	(f) For orders.	1.
	Total.	14.

Case No.2.

2.	(a) On account of Absence of Deputy Commissioner from Head Quarters.	2.
	(b) At the request of parties.	2.
	(c) For examination of witnesses.	5.
	(d) For orders.	1.
	Total	10.

==00==

A.R.
29/11/27.

D. 112 Rec 24

IMMEDIATE.

Telgm:
"JUSTICE"

// CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

RA 22-27

R. O. C. No. 580
Dis. 27-8

Bangalore 29th November 1927

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
MYSORE R. A.
30 NOV 1927
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY AND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. NAGAPPA, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Law Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter R:Elec.297/R.A. dated the 24th November 1927 forwarding copy of Question No. 87 by Mr. D. H. Chandrasekharaiya, Member, Mysore Legislative Council, and requesting information required therein as far as Elections to the Legislative Council are concerned, and in reply to enclose the information called for.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

KVN/-

For REGISTRAR.

(25

THE MYSORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

Question No. 87

By Mr. H. D. Chandrasekharaiya.

(a) One case was filed in March 1927 by Mr. B. N. Vijaya Deva, Bar-at-law., and another in May 1927 by Mr. Basave Gowda, a Coffee planter of Chikmagalur both in the District Court, Bangalore.

One more case was filed by Mr. H. C. Dasappa in March 1927 in the District Court, Mysore.

No such cases were filed in the Shimoga District Court

(b) (i) In the Bangalore District Court, both the cases were withdrawn in June and September 1927 respectively. In the Mysore District Court also the case was withdrawn in July 1927.

(ii) In the Bangalore District Court there were 4 adjournments in the first case and five in the second, to have notices served on the other side and also to suit Counsel on both sides.

In the Mysore District Court after the examination of the petitioner on oath, 3 adjournments were given to summon petitioner's witnesses and on the last date the petition was withdrawn.

(c) No time is prescribed; but election cases are generally given preference over other cases.

(d) The decisions of the District Judges are final.

For R E G I S T R A R.

KVN/-

A. P. F. VI. G1]

Drafts (26

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section RA

File No. 22-27

Serial No.

No. 2219-2221/L.C

Diary No. of letter replied to 108 107
106, 104
103.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore, Mysore & Kolar & Chitaldrug

Date of issue 30/11/27

Signed by Asst. N. Devaraj Rao

Not received to Kolar & Mysore as replies have been received

To

Sir,

I am directed to invite Your attention to this office letter No. Rel 28-9-2962 RA 22-27-2 24/11/27 calling for certain information regarding the various Cases in different courts filed against the recent elections to the Rep: Assembly and to request

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

that the Name Marshall 27
furnished
sends to this office
immediately.

Thanks

For Secretary of State
Law Department

29-11-27

A. P. F. VI. G1]

2 drafts

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No. 22-27.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 2222/L.C.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To The Registrar

Chief Court of Mysore

Bangalore

Date of issue 30/11/27. Received 1/12

Signed by.....

Sir,

I am directed to invite your attention to this office letter No. Rel 297 dt 24/11/27 & Calling for certain information regarding the cases filed in different Courts against the recent elections to the Legislative Council and to request that the

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

Same Map kindly obtained
and furnished to this
office very early.
Thanks

For Secretary of State
Law Department

29.11.27.

D. 116 Rel

D-865.

(30)

WD 882—GPB—100,000—13.8.26

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
2 DEC 1927
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY AND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

192 . 9.A 22-27-12

From
The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore.

Dated 30th November 1927.

Despatched

Received

General No. 2378/E9.
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. Rel.289-.96/R.A. dated 14th November 1927 requesting me to furnish information in regard to question No.87 by Mr. D.V.Channabasappa, Legislative Council Member, at the ensuing session of the Council, I have the honor to forward herewith the information called for.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D. Srinivasa
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District.

(31)

Question. 87.	Replies.
(a) What ~~is~~ are the various cases filed in different courts against the elections to the Representative Assembly and Legislative Council that were conducted during the current year?	Representative Assembly:- 3. (a) Chellakere. (b) Davangere. (c) Molakalmuru. Legislative Council:- Nil.
(b) With regard to these cases the following informations may kindly be furnished:- 1.Whether a decision has already been reached and if so what is it? 2.How many adjournments has each case gone through and what is the work done on each date of adjournment? (A brief reference will do).	The case relating to Molakalmuru Taluk resulted in a compromise after 4 adjournments. The remaining cases are nearing completion. In 2 cases a number of adjournments had to be granted as there were cited a large number of witnesses on either side and to suit the convenience of the parties themselves and at their special request. A few adjournments were also caused by the Deputy Commissioner being away on other duty such as Revenue Commissioner's visit &c. The parties however, have been warned that further adjournments will not be granted and it is expected that the cases will be finished shortly. There have been 16 adjournments in each case so far.
(c) Is there any time limit for decisions in such cases and if so how many of the cases filed have conformed to that limit?	No time limit.
(d) What are the cases in which appeals have been filed against the decisions of trial courts and what is the decision of the appellate court in each of such cases?	----Nil----

For Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District.